WHAT CAUSED THE JANUARY 6, 2021 PROTESTS? WAS IT TRUMP AND HIS MAGA FOLLOWERS' FAULT? OR WAS IT THE RESULT OF CORRUPTION WITHIN THE DEMONCRAP PARTY AND THE VOTING SYSTEMS UNDER THEIR CONTROL IN A SELECTED NUMBER OF VOTING PRECINCTS OR STATES?

This Dec 2022 research paper's objective is to explore what happened <u>and</u> to assist the reader in understanding <u>how it happened</u>; and to expose the realization that it most likely is going to happen again IF something is not done to plug ballot processing (voting) loop holes in all 50 state voting systems. The states covered here are just the known (but not only) examples BTW. <u>Actions have consequences except in Joe Biden's America - Washington Times</u>

<u>PART 1</u>

Steve Scalise, R-LA JANUARY 6, 2021 U.S. House of Representatives

Thank you, madam speaker. I rise today to object to a number of states that did not follow the constitutional requirement for selecting electors. Madam speaker, this is something that is clear that our founding fathers debated about as a fundamental decision of how we choose our president. There was a lot of back and forth, if anyone reads the founding documents of our country, about the different versions they went through to ultimately come up with a process where each state has elections, each state has a process for selecting their electors [and, in most cases, directing said electors as to how to cast their (state's) votes for the president and vice president], and sending them to Washington. Madam speaker, in a number of those states, that constitutional process was not followed. And that's why we're here to object. If you look at what the requirement says, nowhere in Article 2, Section 1 (the executive power shall be vested in a president of the United States of America. He shall hold his office during the term of four vears, and, together with the vice president, chosen for the same term, be elected, as follows, Each state shall appoint, in such manner as the legislature thereof may direct, a number of electors, equal to the whole number of senators and representatives to which the state may be entitled in the congress: but no senator or representative, or person holding an office of trust or profit under the united states, shall be appointed an elector. does it give the Secretary of State of a state that ability. Nowhere does it give the Governor that ability. Nowhere does it give a court that ability. It exclusively gives that ability to the legislatures. And in fact in most states that's the process that was followed. But for those states that this wasn't followed, unfortunately, this is not new. We've seen over and over again more states where the demoncrap party has gone in and selectively gone around this process. That has to end, madam speaker. We have to follow the constitutional process. Now there might be reasons why some people don't like the process laid out by a legislative body. Madam speaker, I served on one of those legislative bodies when I was in the state legislature for 12 years. I served on the house governmental affair committees where we wrote the laws for our state's elections. I can tell you, when we had to make changes, those were extensively negotiated. We would have people on both sides come, republicans and demoncraps, madam speaker, would get together to work through those changes. Any minute change, to how a precinct would function. To how a change would be made in the time of an election. Signature requirements. All the many things that involve a clerk carrying out the duties in each parish in our case. You would see people come and give testimony, madam speaker. Both sides could come. Clerks of court were there in the hearing rooms. It was an open process, by the way. Not behind closed doors in a smoke-filled room where somebody might want to bully a secretary of state to get a different version that might benefit them or their party or their candidate. That's not what our founding fathers said is the process. Maybe it's how some people wanted to carry it out but they laid out that process. So when we would have to make those changes, they were in public view. They were heavily debated. And then ultimately, those laws were changed in advance of the election so everybody knew what the rules were. People on both sides knew the rules before the game started. Not

getting somewhere in the process and saying, well, you don't think it's going to benefit you so you try to go around the constitution. That's not how our system works. It's gotten out of hand. So President Trump has called this out. And President Trump has stood up to it, so many of us have stood up to it. And in fact over 100 of my colleagues, madam speaker, asked the supreme court to address this problem. Just a few weeks ago. And unfortunately, the court chose to punt. They didn't answer one way or the other. They didn't want to get in the middle of this discussion. We don't have that luxury today. We have to discuss this. We have to fix this in fact, on our first full day of this congress, many of us brought legislation onto the house floor to start fixing the problems with our elections to restore integrity to the election process which has been lost by so many millions of Americans. We had a vote. Every single republican voted to reform the possess. Every single demoncrap voted against it. They don't want to fix this problem. But the constitution is our guide. And it's time we start following the constitution. It's time we get back to what our founding fathers said is the process for selecting electors. That's the legislatures' power. And in public view. Not behind closed doors. Not smoke-filled rooms. Not bullying somebody who might get you a better ruling. Let's get back to rule of law and follow the constitution, madam speaker. And I yield back the balance of my time.

What exactly was Representative Scalise attempting to point out in this short narration? Answer: Like Trump, Scalise (and other GOP representatives) was pointing out that some states **violated their own state laws** by carrying out an altered and **illegal voting process** which demoncrap operatives executed in the aftermath of the Covid-19 pandemic and the failed attempts within the House to impeach Trump. During the period after Trump took office and leading up to the 2020 election demoncrap operatives formulated and executed various plans (depending on a given state's weak links in their own voting processes) to carry out "end runs" around their own legislatures (none of the involved states' legislatures passed any legal changes in the run up to the election) and voting laws in hopes of altering election processes and results in favor of Biden and Harris and against Trump and Pence.

Note: Biden and Harris were most likely fully aware of the corrupt efforts afoot. Because Biden's demoncrap machinery (and even Harris's husband) was operating behind the scenes to rig the election he never made any concerted effort to come out of hiding in his basement until well after all votes were cast. Again, he was playing the Covid-19 card in order to assure the voting public that all these corrupt voting practices must be embraced without any question and that the resulting election outcomes were legitimate and unquestionable.

Next we explore the FACT that several known states ended up holding "<u>rigged elections</u>" for President and Vice President, and ended up selecting their slate of electors based on illegally achieved results, in more detail. The question is: What other states use fraudulent election systems? Answer: States run by demoncraps (for the most part).

<u> PART 2</u>

Arizona's Illegal Election Process Exposed

User Clip: Lauren Boebert on floor of House | C-SPAN.org

In Arizona the deadline for voter registration for the [Tue Nov 3] 2020 presidential election was October 5, 2020 [29 days before an election]. Using Covid-19 as a reasoning, [demoncraps] filed a lawsuit to extend this deadline by 18 days [to October 23 or 11 days before said Nov 3 election]. And an injunction was made by an Obama appointed judge preventing the AZ Secretary of State from enforcing the constitutional deadline set by the state legislature. As a result of this frivolous, partisan lawsuit ten extra days were added [to October 15 or 19 days before said Nov 3 election] via judicial fiat to allow voter registration. These ten days were added after voting had already begun. This is completely indefensible. You cannot change the

rules of an election while it is under way and expect the American people to trust it! Now, in this ten day period [beyond the legal 29 day window], at least 30,000 new voters registered to vote in Arizona. All of these votes are unconstitutional. It does not matter if they voted for... Trump or if they vote for ... Biden. They did not register in time for the election. The law states October 5. Either we have laws or we do not...

Representative Boebert continued with further arguments as to the illegality of Arizona's voting process, how wrongfully cast votes tainted the entire voting process of said state that year, how the resulting electors' votes were cast and certified illegally and WHY so many people were outside the House protesting what had happened; not only in that state but others.

This representative's challenge to the election, in and of itself, is enough to conclude that the 2020 election carried out within the state of Arizona, thanks to actions taken prior to the election by demoncrap operatives and a demoncrap appointed judge, et al, were contrary to the U.S. Constitution and Arizona state law.

And yet, to date, absolutely nothing has been done to remove Biden and Harris from office and replace them with a valid (even if worse) leader or to apologize to the American people and ex-President Trump for this wrong doing. Instead, Arizona continues to change voting rules without legislative consent and take way too long to count votes, even during the 2022 mid-terms, undermining the idea of free and fair elections in that state.

While one could easily explore many more efforts, facts and evidence that were taken and exposed by Trump and others, after this fraudulent election was carried out in the state of Arizona and during the past years, the fact of the matter is simple, as Boebert explained. Arizona's election was illegal per state law and the court system failed to address said FACT... choosing instead to PUNT in hopes that the citizenry or House and Senate would iron out the consequences themselves.

Truckloads of AZ ballots show up out of nowhere also? (see Michigan below as well)

<u> PART 3</u>

Pennsylvania's Illegal Election Process Exposed

In a fashion not unlike what occurred within the state of Arizona, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court and Secretary of State colluded to somehow decided that the U.S. Constitution and Pennsylvania state law was not worth the paper they were written on during this particular election year (and probably not during the 2022 mid-terms either). The state's Supreme Court held a hearing and ruled as though they themselves were the supreme leaders of the United States by ordering the illegal voting activities that were carried out within the borders of the state during the 2020 election, as explained by GOP representatives during the Jan 6 House and Senate proceedings which are supposed to certify elector votes cast from said election. The court claimed these activities were allowable when they WERE NOT.

U.S. House Representative Scott Perry (R) from Pennsylvania <u>argued his own case</u> from the GOP's Constitutional point of view as follows...

This is a somber day for the defense of the constitution. You see, the Constitution is just a piece of paper. It cannot defend itself. That is why our leaders swear an oath to uphold and defend the constitution and that's what I'm doing here this evening. The Constitution states the times, place and manner of holding elections shall be prescribed by the legislature, not the courts, not the Governor, not the Secretary of State or other bureaucrats or elected officials; the legislature. In Pennsylvania, the [state] Supreme Court unilaterally extended the deadline for ballots to three days after the election. Actually they wanted 10. The Supreme Court is not the

legislature. The Supreme Court [also] mandated un-postmarked ballots to be received, destroying the validity of all the votes that were cast timely. The Supreme Court action defied the law, the legislature and the will of the people. The Supreme Court [also] authorized the use of drop boxes where ballot harvesting could occur. [Does 2000 Mules ring a bell?] The legislature never authorized that form of voting and the court had absolutely no right to do so. Responding to the Secretary of State, Kathy Boockvar [a Demoncrap under Governor Tom Wolf, another Demoncrap], the Supreme Court ruled that mail-in ballots need not [have] authenticate signatures. Once again, the court not only defied the Constitution and the will of the people, but by so doing, they created a separate class of voters; thereby violating the equal protections clause prescribed in the Constitution. How can we have two legally separate classes of voters? Yet the court made it so. Not the legislature. The Constitution doesn't mention the court when determining the time, place and manner of elections, because they're not authorized to make those decisions. And yet they did it. And the U.S. Supreme Court has refused to hear the case; denying the evidence and denying the demands for justice from the people of Pennsylvania and America. These aren't my opinions. These aren't partisan viewpoints. These are irrefutable FACTS. Six days before the election, guidance email from the Secretary of State said counties shall not pre-canvas or canvas any mail-in or absentee ballots received between 8:00 Tuesday and 5:00 Friday and that they must be kept separately. That was six days before the election. Two days before the election counties received new guidance from the Secretary of State informing counties that they shall canvas segregated ballots as soon as possible upon receipt. The Secretary of State is not elected by the people. She is not a member of the legislature. Yet she and she alone determined the time and manner of elections. Unconstitutional, ladies and gentlemen. In defiance of a U.S. Supreme Court order that all ballots received after election day be segregated, the Secretary of State knew once they were canvassed, that is, opened and co-mingled with all the other ballots, they would be counted with all the rest. What's the remedy for this defiance? For this law breaking? So far, the court has decided there is no remedy. There is no penalty for this lawlessness; this delusion of lawfully cast votes. This defiance of the Constitution. No remedy! When the state legislature requested the Governor convene a special session to address the unanswered questions and try and provide a remedy, he refused. When votes are accepted under unconstitutional means without fair and equal protection for all, the only result can be an illegitimate outcome. Illegitimate! The voters did not create this mess, but the will of the people is absolutely being subverted by the deliberate and willful actions of individuals defying their oath, the law and the Constitution. In Pennsylvania, we use the statewide uniform registry of electors or the SURE system, as the basis of determining who can vote. Unfortunately a recent attempted audit by the demoncrap state auditor general concluded that he was unable to establish with any degree of reasonable assurance that the SURE [Statewide Uniform Registry of Electors] system is secure and that Pennsylvania voter registration records are complete and accurate. That's what we're relying on, ladies and gentlemen. That right there. This is the very same system used to certify the election in the contest for President of the United States. This is the very same system that the state used to certify the 2020 election, even though its figures do not match more than half of Pennsylvania's 67 counties. To this day, right now, while we stand here, how can this election be certified using a system that after two months still displays that over 205,000 more votes were cast in Pennsylvania than people who voted in the November election? Let me say that again. 205,000 more votes than voters.

Also...

Pennsylvania processes truckloads of illegal/suspicious ballots - YouTube

While one could easily explore many more efforts, facts and evidence that were taken and exposed by Trump's team and others, after this fraudulent election was carried out in the state of Pennsylvania and during the past years, the fact of the matter is simple, as Perry explained. Pennsylvania's election was illegal per state law and the court system failed to address said FACT... choosing instead to PUNT in hopes that the citizenry or House and Senate would iron

out the consequences themselves. Compound that by how the 2022 mid-terms went down and one can see a pattern of corruption being repeated all over again.

<u> PART 4</u>

Michigan's Fraud Riddled Dominion Voting Machine Driven 2020 Election Exposed

The best way to review this state's potential effects on the election of Biden over Trump is to review the following 3 or 4 links... which focus on ONLY ONE COUNTY within Michigan (even though there are probably more). The first link summarizes the key issues that most probably gave Biden the edge over Trump in Michigan. The second link is the full audit report which the Marxist controlled media has been trying to cover up or ignore post 2020 election. The third link is a law firm statement further explaining what goes on inside Michigan's corrupt legal system. The fourth and fifth links will be supplied at the end of this section, **below** the text dated **June 24, 2021**.

Antrim County Michigan Forensics Report - Dominion Voting System Official Antrim County Michigan Forensics Report (dependea.com)

Press Release June24, 2021 - DePerno Law Office, PLLC

Thanks to the fact that documentation on the web often goes missing I have included a copy of the entire text from the third link above herein. You can skip this text if you have already managed to review the entire web page linked to instead...

June 24, 2021 | DePerno Press Release

On June 23, 2021, the Michigan Senate Oversight Committee released its Report on the November 2020 Election in Michigan. With this report, the Michigan Senate is attempting to cover up evidence of election fraud in the November 2020 general election. They are also using the mantle of government to proactively intimidate anyone from speaking out about election fraud. These attempts to silence citizens are a clear attempt to criminalize political speech and a violation of the First Amendment right to free speech, freedom to assemble, and right to petition the government for a redress of grievances. Notwithstanding the unconstitutional and illegal intimidation tactics by corrupt politicians, we will continue to expose the truth to the American people. The Michigan Senate has also called for the Attorney General to conduct an unconstitutional and illegal criminal investigation of political speech. We caution Dana Nessel and Senator McBroom that we will ultimately present our evidence to a jury. No corrupt politicians will dictate how the jury interprets the evidence of overwhelming election fraud.

The Michigan Senate has refused to meet with our attorneys and team of forensic experts to review actual evidence of election fraud. Reportedly, Senator McBroom (who has been accused in the past of violating people's constitutional rights) has gone so far as to instruct the Republican caucus to not review evidence for themselves. If they don't review the evidence, they can continue to say they have seen no evidence. Nevertheless, we have so far released 19 reports on election fraud through multiple legal briefs filed with the 13th Circuit Court in Antrim County. We are not done. Additional reports will be released soon. The Michigan Senate failed to properly address any of the evidence submitted in the 19 reports available for everyone to review at www.depernolaw.com. You can also see a great deal of the evidence at LetsFixStuff.org. These reports expose the inherent vulnerabilities and weak or nonexistent security protocols of voting machines. But more importantly, these reports also expose how the voting system and election in Antrim County was actually and definitively subverted through fraud and intentional manipulation of the voting machines; and by extrapolation, the State of Michigan. MCL 168.797c requires Secretary of State Benson to hold a copy of the voting machine source code in trust. She is also required to analyze and test the software at least annually. Through discovery, Ms. Benson acknowledged that she has violated this law; yet the Michigan Senate failed to mention this clear violation of Michigan law which provides clear evidence of voter fraud.

2. Produce a copy of Dominion voting system source code held in trust by the

State of Michigan.

RESPONSE: Neither Defendant Benson, the Michigan Department of

State, the Bureau of Elections nor any employee, officer, or agent of the

same, possess the requested information. Under MCL 168.797c and

On March 9, 2021, The Michigan Court of Claims ruled that Secretary of State Benson violated the Administrative Procedures Act when she issued her "Signature Verification and Voter Notification Standards." The Michigan Senate report failed to highlight the implications of this violation of law which can be used to dump hundreds of thousands of ballots into tabulators. At the same time, the Michigan Senate failed to precisely deal with any of the 19 reports released in the Antrim County case. Rather, they took a very pedestrian and cursory view of the evidence by making broad conclusions on very technical and detailed reports. This demonstrates a complete lack of comprehension, or intentional disregard. Senator McBroom's report demonstrates a complete lack of understand of elections and the purpose of audits. He declares "the most effective way to verify the results is to simply count all ballots by hand." Yet he fails to recognize or acknowledge that every effort to count paper ballots is shut down. Senator McBroom, you cannot declare the solution is to count paper ballots but then permit a lawless Secretary of State to deny the very remedy you promote. You have subpoen power pursuant to MCL 4.101, yet you refuse to use it.

Coincidently, the Michigan Senate has also suppressed information through internal unconstitutional nondisclosure agreements put in place to hide information from constituents and to avoid FOIA requests. On the other hand, we are seeking meaningful public hearings and forensic audits that will provide transparency. This is quite the contrast. The Michigan Constitution guarantees every voter the absolute right to audit the results of the statewide elections. This right is self-executing; meaning we do not need permission from any branch of the government. Yet we are continuously and illegally blocked from inspecting equipment, poll books, or ballots. The Constitution is on the side of freedom of speech, freedom to assemble, and freedom to redress grievances without unconstitutional and illegal threats of criminal prosecution from corrupt politicians. But Sen. McBroom, a self-avowed "Never Trumper" and progressive ideologue, is using his position to quash the free speech of millions of people. He would rather subvert the Constitution than "read mean tweets." Even more outrageous is the call to prosecute his political adversaries. Frankly, Dana Nessel should be laughing at the call for prosecution of free speech. Sen. McBroom has no right to dictate content. He's acting as a tyrant, not a public official. His report is a hit piece against people he doesn't agree with; notice he failed to interview anyone with an opposing view. We fully expect this unlawful report and its suppressive content will lead to the recall of Sen. McBroom in due course.

On June 23, 2021, the same day as the Michigan Senate released its poorly drafted document, Rasmussen Reports released a poll stating that 55% of voters support election audits.1 Barely one-in five voters approve of the job their elected representatives are doing, and most rate congressional job performance as poor. The Arizona legislators have nearly completed their audit. Georgia, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin legislators are poised to pursue audits. Numerous state attorneys general are reviewing evidence of election fraud. But the Michigan legislators are going on summer break and calling for an investigation of anyone who seek to investigate election fraud. This is shameful. Despite what our Michigan Senate may desire, the issue of election fraud will not disappear while they attend summer barbeques; nor do we think their constituents will be happy with their unconstitutional attempts to suppress the truth. More reports on election fraud to follow. Stay tuned . . .

Opinion on Antrim County's Forensic Audit Report - YouTube

Michigan processes truckloads of illegal/suspicious ballots - YouTube

Georgia's Fraud Riddled Dominion Voting Machine Driven 2020 Election Exposed

Georgia's Coffee County also utilized a Dominion voting system. These video links which are somehow still available on You Tube (as of 12/09/2022) are of a "whistle blower" showing how corrupt actors can use such a system to rig elections and votes (2020 & 2022) all day long... <u>Dominion Voting Machine Flaws -- 2020 Election Coffee County, Georgia Video 1 - YouTube</u> <u>Dominion Voting Machine Flaws -- 2020 Election Coffee County, Georgia Video 2 - YouTube</u>

Georgia's Cob County also had "irregularities" that cropped up aside from voting machines... Georgia poll watcher details irregularities during RECOUNT - YouTube

<u>PART 6</u>

Nevada's Fraud Riddled 2020 Election Exposed

Witness Statement of Jesse Binnall of NV (senate.gov)

See the Part 7 PDF links below also.

<u> PART 7</u>

Wisconsin's Fraud Riddled 2020 Election Exposed

This document was so important it has been copied to the TMI server for retention just to make sure it never gets scrubbed off the web like so many other key videos and documents that have gone missing after the 2020 election. You will **note that Wisconsin is included** right along with several other states that, for many reasons, are suspected of rigging the election in Biden's favor (vs. Trump)...

The-Immaculate-Deception-12-15-20.pdf (tmi-america.com)

And another important document that was copied to the TMI server for retention just to make sure it never gets scrubbed off the web either...

The-Immaculate-Deception-States.pdf (tmi-america.com)

PART 8

Summary of most of the ways the 2020 election was most likely rigged

What follows summarizes, within this document, all the various methods used during the 2020 election to "rig" the results. Similar tactics were also applied in the 2022 midterm elections. Did Warnock manage to defeat Walker in the 2022 **Georgia** runoff <u>using the same tricks</u> as in 2020?

Anyone who reviews all of the previous and following information, <u>recent news about Twitter</u>, the suppressed <u>Biden Laptop and CCP connection</u> stories (to rig the election), et al, and comes away thinking the 2020 election definitely was NOT rigged, in one fashion or another, is pretty much incapable of understanding how the real world works and how "demoncratic" voting processes (and voters) <u>are easily corrupted</u> to <u>steal</u> elections all the time, all around the world.

ELECTION FRAUD ALLEGATIONS

IN THE FALLOUT from the Nov. 3 election, numerous credible allegations of voting irregularities have arisen in the form of sworn affidavits and other evidence. Below we provide an overview of some of the information currently known.





UNIVERSAL MAIL-IN Ballots

This year, universal mail-in ballots were widely adopted by states for use in response to the CCP virus. Previously a voter would have to request an absentee ballot and get a witness to verify their identity to be able to vote by mail. This year, nine states and the District of Columbia mailed out ballots to everyone on their voter rolls, while others suspended the witness requirement.



Progressive groups in many states sued to ease security features for mail-in ballots, such as signature and witness requirements, and, in some cases, to allow ballots to arrive after the election.

POLL WATCHERS OBSTRUCTED Poll watchers in states such as Pennsylvania and Michigan said

hia and Michigan said they were not allowed to properly observe the ballot counting. In some instances, they were told to stand so far back that they required binoculars to observe what ballot counters were doing. Some poll watchers were forced to stand outside.



ELECTRONIC VOTING SYSTEMS

Voting machines, which rely on outdated technology, are vulnerable to hacking. Two of the main companies that produce voting machines in the United States, Dominion and Election Systems & Software LLC, rely on Chinese parts, making the machines especially vulnerable. Another company, Smartmatic, has questionable foreign ties, including to Venezuela.



POTENTIAL FOREIGN INFLUENCE

The Chinese Communist Party (CCP) has a lot to gain from a Biden presidency, with whom its leader, Xi Jinping, has cultivated a close relationship over the years. Furthermore the Biden family has stood to gain from business deals in China, including those with CCP members. The CCP, through its state-controlled media, has publicly put its support behind Riden.



Y₍)

MEDIA & BIG TECH Media organizations and big tech companies such as Facebook and Twitter were quick to call Joe Biden president-elect, despite ongoing legal challenges, and have labeled anything to the contrary as false or misleading information, including tweets by President Donald Trump.



4 YEARS OF Campaigns Against trump

The current allegations of voter fraud come after four years of efforts to oust Trump from office, first through the false Russia-collusion narrative, then through impeachment.

2000 Mules and the drop box saga - IMDb

Using cellphone geo-tracking data, the documentary purports to show evidence of thousands of discrete cellphone users who allegedly made dozens of trips each to multiple drop boxes where they deposited mail-in ballots in key swing states such as Pennsylvania, Arizona, Georgia, Wisconsin and Michigan.

Convincing: 77% believe 2000 Mules, and 20M have seen it - TrueTheVote

<u>Dinesh D'Souza's</u> election fraud documentary, <u>2000 Mules</u>, is bolstering concerns among millions of voters that the Trump-Biden election was rife with problems.

In a new <u>Rasmussen Reports survey</u> on the film shared with Secrets, 77% said that the movie "strengthened their conviction that there was systematic and widespread election fraud in the 2020 election."

And that is significant because the poll also suggested that over 20 million voters have viewed the documentary that debuted last month at Florida's Mar-a-Lago resort, former President Donald Trump's winter residence.

BALLOTS

98 PERCENT FOR BIDEN

In a sworn affidavit filed in Georgia, a poll watcher with 20 years' experience says she was surprised to find batches of "pristine" ballots during the state's recount and noted that "markings for the candidates on these ballots were unusually uniform." The poll watcher estimated that of those ballots "approximately 98% constituted votes for Joseph Biden.'

PERFECT BLACK BUBBLES

Several poll workers in Georgia said in sworn affidavits that during the recount, they saw ballots with "perfectly made" bubble selections, "They all had a perfect black bubble and all were Biden select,' one observer stated. According to the observer, as workers went through the ballots, Biden's name was called "over 500 times in a row.

NO SIGNATURE VERIFICATION

Poll workers in both Michigan and Georgia said that no effort was made to verify the signatures on the envelopes of mail-in ballots. In Detroit, a poll worker said in sworn testimony that she was told not to ask for identification when voters arrived to vote in person.

TENS OF THOUSANDS OF **BALLOTS ARRIVE** OVERNIGHT

Tens of thousands of unsealed, unsecured ballots arrived in vehicles with out-ofstate license plates in Michigan's Wayne County at 4:30 a.m. the morning after Election Day, according to a sworn affidavit by a poll worker. "I specifically noticed

that every ballot I observed was cast for Joe Biden." the poll worker said.

BALLOTS COUNTED MULTIPLE TIMES

Seven witnesses in Michigan say in sworn affidavits that they saw the same ballots being run through tabulation machines multiple times. **One Republican** challenger saw a stack of 27 ballotswith the ballot numbers covered with tape-being run through a tabulator on five separate occasions

BACKDATING **OF BALLOTS**

A City of Detroit elections worker signed an affida vit saying she was instructed to backdate mail-in ballots and not to look for any deficiencies in the ballots. In Pennsylvania, an analysis of the voter database shows that more than 51,000 ballots were marked as returned just a day after they were sent out-an extraordinary feat, given the U.S. Postal Service delivery times while nearly 35.000 were returned on the same day they were mailed out. Another more than 23.000 had a return date that was earlier than the sent date.

VOTES COUNTED AFTER DEADLINE

The Pennsylvania Supreme Court ruled on Sept. 17 that election officials could accept all mail-in ballots. including absentee ballots, up to three days after the Nov. 3 election. However, on Nov. 6, U.S. Supreme Court Justice Samuel Alito ordered Pennsylvania election officials to segregate ballots that arrived after Election Day.

POLL WATCHERS OBSTRUCTED

a judge ruled on Nov.

could inspect a sam-

pling of mail-in bal-

requests in Georgia

have been rejected

VOTES CAST FOR

PEOPLE WHO HAD

MOVED OUT OF

By comparing data

Change of Address

identified hundreds

database, analyst

to the National

Matt Braynard

and his team

of thousands

of people in six

(Pennsvlvania,

contested states

Georgia, Michigan,

Wisconsin, Arizona,

and Nevada) who

had moved out of

state vet still had

a vote cast in their

name in that state

had 138,221 such

people.

PO BOXES

ADDRESSES

Braynard's team

voter registration

data, voters who

registered using

a post office box

but attempted to

apartment or suite

disguise the box

number as an

number. Just in

Georgia, his team

found 1,000 such

UNUSUALLY

LOW BALLOT

An affidavit by

statistics and

REJECTION RATE

Benjamin Overholt,

an expert in applied

research methods

at the University of

Northern Colorado.

was a 0.15 percent

notes that there

rejection rate in

the 2020 general

election, compared

to a 0.28 percent

rejection rate in

the 2016 general

percent in the 2018

percent in the 2020

general, and 0.28

election 0.20

primary

people

identified, based on

Georgia, for example,

LISTED AS HOME

STATE

lot envelopes. Similar

30 that the state's

Republican Party

Republican poll watchers in Philadelphia, Detroit, and other major cities say they were

obstructed from properly observing the handling and counting of ballots. Poll watchers say that they were told to stand back so far that they couldn't see what was happening, or that in some

cases, ballots were handled in rooms to which they had no access. Other poll watchers said they were denied access despite having proper credentials. According to Rudy Giuliani, lawver to Trump, in Pennsylvania alone there were more than 682,000 mailin ballots that were entered "that were not observed by any single Republican. One Philadelphia poll

worker said the vast majority of mail-in ballots were processed "15 to 200 plus feet from us." The poll worker said "it was impossible for ... any observer to see what the workers were doing with any type of specificity" and "the observers were not able to challenge any decision or determi-

nation being made on the processing of these mail-in ballots. NO OR LAX

SIGNATURE VERIFICATION

A lawsuit filed in Georgia on Nov 30 states that "decreased signature verification arose because counties did not screen mismatched and absent signatures and ballots unsigned without the oath, as required by the Election Code," Similar accusations of a lack of signature-verification requirements have been made in states across the country. In Arizona,

THE EPOCH TIMES

TRUTH and TRADITION

ELECTRONIC VOTING SYSTEMS

EASY TO MANIPULATE

Alex Halderman, a professor of computer science at the University of Michigan, testified before the Senate Intelligence Committee in June 2017 about "how easy it can be to manipulate computerized voting machines." Halderman said that during his research, he and his team found they "could reprogram the machine to invisibly cause any candidate to win."

VENEZUELA TIES

One of the votingmachine vendors used in the United States, Smartmatic, was as of 2005 "owned by Venezuelan investors. according to The Wall Street Journal. According to The New York Times in 2006, the company was "linked to the leftist Venezuelan government of President Hugo Chávez." It is unclear who owns Smartmatic at the moment; the company itself is mysterious about its ownership other than stating it is "privately owned.'

BUILT IN VULNERABILITIES

Voting machines produced by Dominion have built-in settings that allow for manual alterations. Options include giving different weights to votes belonging to different candidates. The system also allows for ballots to be scanned multiple times and for vote results to be removed. These functions make the system vulnerable to manipulation. "In looking at these systems, we identified almost a dozen ways that you could inject or interdict to manipulate votes," cybersecurity expert Col Phil Waldron testified before Arizona lawmakers on Nov. 30.

NO EMPLOYEE OVERSIGHT

According to a sworn affidavit by a cyber security expert in Georgia.

Dominion personnel were the only ones with knowledge of, and access to, the Dominion server. The expert said this was "highly unusual in my experience and of grave concern from a security and conflict of interest perspective. He also said it was apparent Dominion employees have "complete access to the computer system.

SERVERS NOT HARDENED?

Dell computers running the Dominion server appeared not to have been "hardened"-the process of "securing a system by reducing its surface of vulnerability" according to a cyber expert who observed voting during the Georgia primaries. The expert said he found it "unacceptable for an EMS server not to have been hardened prior to installation."

HACKING RISK

A cyber expert in Georgia said he observed that computers used to process votes in Georgia during the primaries appeared to have "home/ small business companion software packages" installed on them. "One of the first procedures of hardening is removal of all unwanted software, and removal of those game icons and the associated games. the expert said.

OUTDATED OPERATING SYSTEM

A cyber expert who observed the **Georgia primaries** said the Windows system of the main computer in the rack connected to the Dominion voting system "has not been updated for 4 years and carries a wide range of well-known and publicly disclosed vulnerabilities." The expert noted the server appeared

operation with no formalized process."

BROKEN CERTIFICATION PROCESS

The federal Election Assistance Commission (EAC) is responsible for the certification of all voting machines used in the United States. The agency, however, is so small that in 2017 senators sent a letter to the EAC raising concerns about the fact that the agency "employs only one full-time staff member dedicated to overseeing the certification process. The agency relies on only two small companies to conduct actual testing.

USB STICKS PLUGGED INTO MACHINES

A poll observer from Pennsylvania's Delaware County, Greg Stenstrom, said he "personally observed USB cards being uploaded to voting machines by the voting machine supervisor on multiple occasions." Stenstrom told Pennsylvania lawmakers during a hearing on Nov. 25 that this person was "not being observed" and was "not part of the process' from what he could see. According to Stenstrom the supervisor was walking in with baggies," and he observed him plugging the cards into machines at least 24 times.

CONNECTED TO THE INTERNET

An information technology contractor for Dominion Voting Systems who worked at the TCF Center in Michigan and a former state senator who was a poll challenger both said in sworn affidavits that the voting machines used in the Nov. 3 election were connected to the internet. Dominion has denied its machines are connected to the internet.

to be an "ad hoc

