

My Ford vs. Kavanaugh Hearing Notes

These are my own notes made while watching the Ford/Kavanaugh hearing; by watching and listening to every word via C-SPAN, stopping the C-SPAN video, taking notes, and continuing on... step by step. Naturally, I am paraphrasing the items I feel were most striking or important **along with some of my own thoughts** as I go along. Here's the end to end video I watched while trying to remain objective. Unfortunately, that was impossible after a couple hours of watching this dog and pony, or should I say phony, show. After a while you just can't help but succumb to total frustration and ANGER watching this crap go on endlessly, minute after minute, and hour after hour, and day after day. I'm going to use the word **demoncraps** the rest of my life, when referring to them, because of how they have FUCKED with everything for so long; they simply deserve this well founded label.

<https://www.c-span.org/video/?451895-1/professor-blasey-ford-testifies-sexual-assault-allegations-part-1>

<https://www.c-span.org/video/?451895-101/professor-blasey-ford-testifies-sexual-assault-allegations-part-2>

<https://www.c-span.org/video/?451895-102/professor-blasey-ford-testifies-sexual-assault-allegations-part-3>

<https://www.c-span.org/video/?451895-103/supreme-court-nominee-brett-kavanaugh-testifies-sexual-assault-allegations-part-4>

<https://www.c-span.org/video/?451895-104/supreme-court-nominee-brett-kavanaugh-testifies-sexual-assault-allegations-part-5>

<https://www.c-span.org/video/?451895-105/supreme-court-nominee-brett-kavanaugh-testifies-sexual-assault-allegations-part-6>

<https://www.c-span.org/video/?451895-106/supreme-court-nominee-brett-kavanaugh-testifies-sexual-assault-allegations-part-7>

So the show gets on the road.

Per chairman Grassley's opening statement of events, etc., Feinstein had the "letter" from Ford as far back as July 2018; even during her personal interview with Kavanaugh, etc. Grassley noted that Feinstein claimed she held it back and gave no hint about it until after the standard September questioning period because she wanted to respect Ford's request to remain anonymous.

But, Feinstein finally decided, just days before the vote was scheduled, it was time to "refer" the Ford letter to the FBI for an investigation. However, the FBI refused to take action and very quickly issued a statement to that effect.

Also, very quickly, someone then leaked the existence of the letter, or Feinstein's request for investigation due to a "letter", to the FBI.

NOTE: Also, very quickly, the media and all the other key demoncraps started their rumor circus and the demoncrap machine began their uproar campaign... just like clockwork. The media and social machine could not have planned it or executed it any better had Feinstein or Chuck Schumer planned it themselves. And, note also, that none of these actions did a thing to honor Ford's request for anonymity. Apparently it had to be executed the way it was executed for the sake of the country and the SCOTUS.

Per Feinstein's opening remarks she now claims that Mark Judge should be forced, against his will, via a subpoena, to testify about the night in question when Ford was supposedly assaulted. **His anonymity and right to privacy does not matter one bit.**

Feinstein also claimed that there are several others who have made quoted statements that when Kavanaugh got drunk in college (not high school) that his personality was effected and that he made false statements during his questioning in that regard.

Feinstein then claims, "This is not a trial for Dr. Ford, it is a job interview for judge Kavanaugh..." **Pretty strange job interview isn't it?**

Ford starts out with a written statement stating, among other things, that she is a psychology professional in her field, **she did not want to be there**, she was terrified being there (thus painting herself as a weak and mentally fragile female character), married Russel Ford 2002 [16 years ago] w/two boys no less, and covered some of her life, etc....

Ford claimed she went to an all-girl school and they socialized with boys from other schools and settings and somehow that's how she met Kavanagh, "This is how I met Bret Kavanagh the 'boy' who sexually assaulted me". Well, that pretty much says it all. Some 'boy', not a grown man, screwed up... in her mind's eye. I agree. Not a federal case.

Ford then outlined how they crossed paths during her freshman and/or sophomore years but in a manner making it seem like she was generally unsure of exact times. And they went to parties. She brings up the summer of 1982... swimming and diving... one day after diving she attended a 'gathering' at an unknown house and she remembered four boys, supposedly "Bret Kavanagh, Mark Judge, PJ, and one other boy... ", and her fiend Leland (?).

My thoughts at this point: How come she left out the one other boy's name... really? Was it his home or his parent's home? If we had that one name then we'd have a location where a booze party was held that she and her friends don't want to let adults find out about. Where were the parents; at work? Out of town for the weekend? In the other room letting kids have a good time with a few beers? How come she is really hiding that person's name? WAS IT HER HOUSE? Did she just hang out in the bathroom or outside until they left and then (go back in and) clean up and hide it all from her own parents?? Is she trying to make sure nobody connects the dots in order to find the real person who could prove she is making everything up? WTF?

Then, with an emotional voice, she claimed to be unsure about how it got started or how she got to the "party". But she had strong memories about what happened to her as they were "...seared into my memory and have haunted me 'episodically' as an adult". People were drinking beer. She drank one beer. Bret and Mark were visibly drunk (in her teenage expert opinion). She went up narrow stairs to use the restroom. When she got to the top she was pushed from behind into a bedroom across from the bathroom but did not see who did it. Was it Leland? No matter. Bret and Mark came in and **locked** the door behind them. She recalls that minor detail somehow. Music was playing in the bedroom. One of them turned it up. She was pushed onto the bed. Bret (he's the evil perp) supposedly got on top of her. He ran his hands over her body and grinded into her...

My thoughts at this point: How did she get there (should be easy to recall)? Where is this house with a family/living room and narrow set of stairs with a bathroom and bedroom at top? Was it at least near the swimming pool? Did she walk there, catch a cab, ride a bus, drive, get a parent to take her... WTF? Do all bedrooms have lockable doors in this house?

She started breathing deeper as she read her statement. Then she claims she yelled for help and Bret was heavy on top of her. Bret "groped her" and tried to take off her clothes.

My thoughts at this point: What was she wearing that day?

She says she wore a one piece bathing suit under her clothing. There's your answer. Well at least that ties into her swimming part of the story.

My thoughts at this point: So, she went swimming/diving all day, kept her (possibly wet) bathing suit on and somehow made her way to a party and got upstairs and locked into a bedroom? Why not put your underwear on, then your clothes, before going home, etc. after swimming? That's a question someone should ask her.

Then she emotionally stated she believed he was going to rape her... and repeated she tried to yell for help (apparently everyone ignored her so far) but Bret **covered her mouth** with his hand. Trust me if a girl wants to YELL to get help she will YELL to get help. This is about as lame a story as all get out. Then she said it was hard to believe and **what frightened her "the most", was the thought he might "accidentally" kill her.** So, does she think he was kidding around maybe? Take NOTE of this key remark. It ties in below!!

Then she said they were "drunkingly (?) laughing" and seemed to be "having a good time". She claims Mark seemed ambivalent and urged Bret on... then, at times, was telling him to stop... making it seem

that it lasted a while. She claimed she made eye contact with Mark, but he still did not help her. Eventually Mark jumped on the bed a couple times and they “toppled over” and Bret was no longer on top of her. Mark actually did help her then... if it was Mark... or Bret. Makes me wonder... was it a water bed perhaps. IF you jump on a water bed the wave action could rock both other people over onto the floor? What else might explain this part of her story?

She was able to get up and run out of the room.

My thoughts at this point: So, she got out fast without unlocking the door first? No mention of the locked door on the way out or that she knew how to unlock it? And didn't they try to stop her if they wanted to rape her? Really? What if she told someone down stairs??? Then what?

She then claims she got into the bathroom and locked the door.

My thoughts at this point: Lucky for the guys she did not go down the stairs to find the help she claimed she yelled for instead. Lucky she decided she didn't want to YELL or SCREAM once she had her chance and everyone could have EASILY heard it then. That would have saved a lot of rapes later on, IF these guys were gang rape organizers too. Fuck. AND... notice how every step of the way, from this point on, she always has some reason ONLY she and her story are told. Nobody else is ever there to verify any of it. Even her mother, later on, when she goes into a store where Judge is at. Pay attention to ALL of these LUCKY breaks or excuses... all the cards are stacked against Kavanagh; nobody else.

From inside her bathroom safety zone then she claims she heard them leave and laugh as they went down the narrow stairs and were “pin balling off the walls” (must have been quite drunk).

My thoughts at this point: As she goes over this part of her story she finally takes a deep breath and calms down. During most of the story she seems to be nearly crying thinking about it or explaining it. She could make a good actress or it could be very hard to express her memories for some reason. She did not get raped. She is not very mature, to this day, or in control of her emotions after all these years. She is capable of showing emotions as she goes along. When comparing to my own life events, that I've gone through, MANY which were much more “life threatening” events which happened to me at all ages while growing up or old (getting stuck on a cliff as a small child, several fights with older/stronger guys, locker room harassment by guys, attacked by a couple black guys at a track meet, and attacked by two guys on a beach with my son Jason, being shot when robbed, getting stuck on an ice ledge while climbing Mt Hood, scary car accidents/close calls, going through a “war of the roses” type divorce when my ex-wife hired a lynch job tactics lawyer to get custody of my sons, etc.) and it sure never screwed me up as much as she indicates this ONE SINGLE event has done to her. Hummmmm.

She then said she waited until she felt it was OK, left the bathroom, went down the stairs, through the living room and left the house. She gave no indication of who was around or in the house or room. She just left the house. She felt relieved that she “escaped that house” and they did not come after her.

Then she claims it altered her life for a long time, and SOP was afraid to tell anyone (for some strange reason... couldn't even tell her parents... WTF?).

She claims she was afraid to tell her parents that at 15 she was in a house without any parents home drinking beer with boys. Still not sure if any girls were there but her??? But there were “boys”.

Then she says she “convinced herself” that because she did NOT get raped she should just “move on” and “pretend it did not happen” (good idea?). Over the years, she claims, she told VERY VERY FEW friends that she had this experience. She told her husband some of it before they were married in 2002. Finally, in May 2012, she told someone the “specific details” in a couples counselling session.

My thoughts at this point: OK. So here we have a 15 yo who's afraid to tell her parents for some strange reason (see above notes). So what if she drank one beer or was with friends who got their hands on some beers? MY QUESTION IS THIS... was this little “gathering” at HER house while mommy and daddy were at work? Or at her possibly unknown boyfriend's house? NOW that would be a real hard one to explain alright. Does her home, when she was 15, or one of her neighbor friend's homes, have stairs, and a bedroom at top? Something to rule out at least. And it would explain a LOT as to why she would rather just move on vs. telling mom and dad. In any event if she often went swimming in the summer she should know exactly how she came and went from the pool and how far from the pool she

had to go to get to this “gathering”. To this day I could easily show people every pool I ever swam in and where all my homes were at the time.

Then Ford goes into the reason it came up and how it was somehow because they remodeled their home and she insisted on a second “front door”. So, that leads to her describing the assault in detail and why she wanted a second front door.

Then she says “...the ‘boy’ who assaulted me could someday be on the Supreme Court” during her 2012 session. **Say what? Does she have a crystal ball? That’s it. She’s a FUCKING liar.** And he was from an “elitist” school. **Sounds like a derogatory label to me.** Then Ford says her husband, not her, recalled that she named her “attacker as Bret Kavanaugh” at the session. **Lucky she has a husband with a good memory.**

Then she goes on to explain how thinking about it causes anxiety, etc. and she kept thinking more about it. Then she told a friend about her sexual assault. Then she got wind of the WA Post story in Sep 16, 2018 from a friend(s) who reminded her about her assault. Then she claims the ONLY time she ever mentioned Bret’s name was during her therapy sessions; never to any friends, etc. **WTF?** She states that finally in July 2018 she started trying to contact congressional members via a few possible methods...

Ford then starts explaining her contacts with congressional aids and members and how things started to unfold once she found out Bret Kavanaugh was nominated. She nearly cries talking about who she told and wrote letters to, etc. Then she says, “Sexual assault victims should be able to decide for themselves when and whether their private experience is made public.” And Ford claimed she agonized with letting it go public or not through both Aug and Sep, that Bret had so many supporters backing his good history, and by the time of the hearing she “resigned herself to remain quiet”. But, thanks to the action of Feinstein and the demoncraps, she faced “mounting pressure”, also thanks to reporters tracking her down at home, etc., etc. **The witch hunt was on once again.**

Then she said she went back to the WA Post (**was she her own leaker??**) and explained in her own words what supposedly happened via a reporter there. Now she is another demoncrap victim and it is far worse, she says, than she ever expected. She then paints the pro-Kavanaugh backers that have contacted her as evil and Feinstein and the demoncraps did nothing wrong, like she mentioned, over the past several weeks. She just wanted to be “helpful” and it is not her responsibility how the Senate decides. And she then said she would try to answer questions from the assigned prosecutor, etc.

After she gets done with her emotional, tear jerking statement she is asked if she wants a break. Nope, she smiles now that she got her coffee and keeps on trucking. All is well.

My thoughts at this point: End of opening statements. But not the end of copycat anti-Kavanaugh demoncrap or other copycat allegations, media hype or stall tactics. Basically, thanks to a “sexual assault” accusation from an emotional, 50+ yo female, Kavanaugh has been tarred and feathered for life even more so than Clarence Thomas was.

So, what key points are raised or covered from that point on?

Here’s just some things that I took note of while viewing ALL of the rest of the day’s process.

The republicans turned over their question periods to the female prosecutor to ask some good questions and tried to fill in some blanks.

Feinstein used her time to get a few sympathetic things addressed and the next demoncrap, Patrick Leahy (an old white man, as the demoncraps label them) used his time to start the stall tactics of beating on the FBI investigation drum all over again. Leahy said the senate failed Anita Hill (not the nation, though) when Thomas got on the bench and by god that wasn’t going to happen this time because “bravery is contagious” thanks to the #me-too movement, etc., etc.. **Then, oh oh, Leahy coached her (led her on) into telling what was the “strongest memory you have” after the event all over again (she did that already, will both answers match up?).** You will never guess what the answer was? Answer: “Indelible in the hippocampus is the laughter...” Boy, very exacting professional brain science stuff. She knows how her hippocampus works everyone. It was right in there, the memory that the two ‘boys’ were laughing at her and having fun at her own expense. In other words she was **angry** and that formed an indelible memory and she still holds a grudge, more likely than not, as a result. **Hell hath no**

fury like the wrath of a woman scorned comes to mind. Some sort of get even grudge is probably what that question was all about and she complied quite nicely (even though it has to be a lie... or NOT)... she even smiled about it as though she just scored some great points, while at the same time managing to inflect just a tad of *shakiness* in her voice. **Very fucking smooth. Perhaps she was shaky knowing she was lying all along and had planned this move with Leahy and her team?????**

The prosecutor finally nailed down at least how many were at the “gathering” which Ford claimed was probably before going to a real party later that day “as is often the case”. **Boy she is well informed on party subject matter. I wonder why?** At this point Ford claimed there are at least 4 boys and 2 girls; herself and Leland, who’s her friend. **Took me all this way to finally figure out who this Leland person, and his/her probable sex, was. But, maybe I missed some clue along the way?**

Ford said she was aware she would have to walk past the other people to get out of the house, which filled in another item of detail she did not provide in the written statement she read out loud.

Senator Durbin (another old white man, as the demoncraps label them), true to form, calls Ford a (**demoncrap version of a**) hero and she saved many other families, etc., etc. with her courage. According to him she had nothing to gain... **except perhaps getting more than even...** with someone. FBI all over again. Mark Judge is in his hideaway, yada yada. Kavanaugh should request an investigation against himself but he has not. **Shame, shame.** Then Durbin lets out that two other guys have claimed they are the perps and Durbin coaches Ford in a manner to help her denounce them. 100% Kavanaugh and Judge. Interesting clue... Then Durbin asked her to explain her later encounter with Mark Judge at a Safeway (?) where he was, apparently, working at the time she walked in. She split up from her mom by going in a different way (**thus no witness again??**) and crossed paths with Judge and she actually said, “Hello” to him. She claims his face was white and uncomfortable and she semi-smiles as she tells this story. **Guess he was embarrassed that a 15 yo gal was hitting on him while he was working at his “elitist” job maybe?** This was estimated to be 6 to 8 weeks after the incident... and she claimed that prior to this Judge was always friendly, but this time he appeared ill looking.

Next a demoncrap member named Whitehouse (**fuck me if this ass-hole ever gets that far!**) suggested, once again, an FBI investigation should be done and Ford qualified for such an investigation and claimed that Trump, FBI Director Ray and all the republican members of the judicial committee were blocking the investigation Ford felt would help and which she wanted.

In response to Whitehouse chairperson Grassley went over a blow by blow timeline outlining the many ways the demoncraps had prevented any investigation prior to when the Ford letter was leaked, and that the GOP tried to set up meetings to start investigating but were tripped up all along the way by Ford and others within the demoncrap side of the game while the media circus went on and on. Yada, yada, yada.

Then she was questioned about flying as a reason to stall coming to D.C. Turns out she’s flown a lot of places annually, including to Delaware, and many places far and wide... “unfortunately” in her words. Easier if a vacation vs. to testify in D.C.. **So, getting to D.C. should have been easier than it was.**

Next came demoncrap Amy Klobuchar and her speech. Then they exposed two times when Ford talked to a therapist, once in 2012 along with her husband, and once in 2013 by herself, when the therapist put in the notes the name of Kavanaugh (and yet his name never made it into any other written places... or something to that effect). Not sure if anyone has yet seen these supposed notes or not, in writing?

Chris Coons took over on the demoncrap side. He wanted her to go over how it affected her all over again. Guess he wasn’t paying attention. She laid it on his brain dead ass all over again. And she explained that in situations when “boys will be boys” it might impact 15 yo girls more than older women who may have better coping skills. Then Coons closes with FBI, FBI, FBI all over again. **What a bunch of mindless drones.**

Finally, the prosecutor, asked about her parents who, apparently, were never told, even when she started deciding to tell Congress, find lawyers, etc. **???**

Next up was scumbag Blumenthal, who up to now sure seemed to have a bunch of media seeking his unbiased (**NOT**) opinion in the media, etc. He asks if she was a teacher? Correct. **Hard to believe.** Then he managed to put out a speech that got her almost crying for a while. **Great job Blumenthal. That was frosting on the cake.** Then he manages to blame Trump for no FBI investigation... with Ford’s help.

He ends saying no FBI equals a cover-up. Never mind much worse cover-ups like Clinton or spying on Trump, etc., etc. No big deal.

Next up, after more prosecutor questions which were not of much value, was the show boat, left wing, demoncrap Hirono from Hawaii who could care less about presumed innocence before guilt stuff. Question: Is there a political motivation? Answer: "No." Total fucking lie. It's either revenge or her wanting him to fail in his career as a judge. One or the other or both. She goes on to say she wanted someone "equally qualified", just not him. Pretty much nails it as political and revenge; but not that he is not equally qualified to ANYONE else. Hirono then says it is about his character. Not his qualification or his current character after growing, getting educated, maturing, getting married, having kids, becoming a well-qualified judge, etc.... but his 17 yo character... as painted by this witch-hunt circus. Then Hirono tries to paint how "basic human dignity has been driven from human life". She's got that right. **Hand it to a demoncrap to be the kettle calling the pot black.** Then another trump card is tossed out... expect nothing less from Trump.

More wasted Q&A by the prosecutor.

Next the great Mr. Booker (the black guy **who's the only one in the room who's a confessed molester BTW**... no more white guys anyway) gives a speech that she is "heroic", etc., etc. She starts crying when she should be happy or proud. Hummm? Then he lays the entire decision on Jeff Flake, knowing he will be a key swing vote.

Prosecutor finally gets one tidbit from Ford, that at least one of the two attorneys sitting beside her was probably suggested by Feinstein (my guess is the female attorney who gets rich from such media exposure/cases). One attorney says both attorneys are pro-bono. I guess they like witch-hunt exposure. There was no advance notice of questions. The prosecutor then asked about the classmate who Ford mentioned as a connection between her and Kavanaugh back in 1982. Who was this person? Ford gave a real confusing answer to that question. Not a name but a "person who Mr. Whalen (?) was trying to say looked like Mr. Kavanaugh?" Does this mean a person or friend or whom she was dating, who looks similar to Kavanaugh and may have actually got her in a bedroom? Confusing? Ford says she knew this "no name" person a couple of months before the "gathering". And she knew his younger brother. And she "went out with this person (a male apparently)"; not dating but "went out with". This so called "common friend" also wants to stay anonymous apparently... but somehow was her link to Kavanaugh? Most of her contacts with Kavanaugh were via four or five other parties where nothing bad happened.

Next demoncrap... Kamala Harris... once again repeats how courageous Ford is. She makes the point that Ford tried to "reach out" to her congressional rep to expose her story BEFORE Kavanaugh was even named as a nominee. That sure as hell never happened on the part of her congress rep now did it? Wonder why? Did her rep drop the ball? Did FORD simply say "If you need me to screw Trump here I am." Or was it stored away until a better, more political time to drop a bomb on Trump? Good questions. More FBI crap. Nothing of real value.

Glad that part was over.

My thoughts at this point: Maybe something happened. Maybe not. Nothing was offered to break the tie on that front. Too bad she never had any confidence in her parents at age 15... or the police. WTF? One thing is for sure: IF it never really happened then there was never any way for her parents or anyone else to ever really know about it... was there? Ford did seem pretty sure of herself, that Kavanaugh was a bad 'boy' that day, IF he was the actual one who pinned her down, covered her mouth and grabbed at her and finally let her go, with Judge's help, while laughing at her... at her expense... assuming it was a true story to begin with. Who knows what the reality really was? And she made a huge mistake NOT telling anyone about it IF it ever really happened to begin with. I'd also like to know how the hell she got to this gathering after swimming all day, and how she got home, and why none of the others followed her out of the house or seemed to care why she left. Still some great big holes in the entire story, IMHO. For example, why did her friend Leland not go home with her too... or want to step up now? Was it really because Leland is too ill to deal with more issues than what happened way back in high school? Perhaps Leland wants Kavanaugh on the SCOTUS and won't tell FORD?? THAT would be a real bomb shell. Or maybe Leland thinks it is a wild goose chase. Maybe, maybe not. A lot of maybes and very little actual 100%, pin the tail on the donkey, proof. Nothing close to being beyond a shadow of a doubt.

Next Kavanaugh's flip side of the coin.


After watching for several hours I got worn down, so I decided that I'd just watch the rest w/o stopping so often and taking real step by step notes. Instead, I decided to summarize the most important key parts that might crop up...

Bret gave a great testimony, cried a lot, and was angry as hell... and sad for his family. And he talked a lot about details he had from his personal diary/calendar (which he used growing up and old just like his father passed down to him) all about that alleged summer "gathering" timeframe when most of that summer he was nowhere near the area Ford outlined in her memory or account. Plus he pointed out that nobody else she named recalled a single thing about such a gathering either.

NOTE: The local police could easily be contacted and a report filed by FORD or her legal team any time they want it legally investigated. EVERYONE knows this. YET it still hasn't happened. The motive here is NOT justice for a crime. It is REVENGE and, like Kavanaugh says anti-Trump war.

And he pointed out that Congress, in particular the Marxists libtard demconcraps, has somehow turned the Constitution's power of "advice and consent" into "search and destroy". And he pointed out how they are destroying the country in the process. **That was absolutely spot on!!**

Bottom line, he denied it all and the following picture sums it up from his point of view IMHO...



His opening statement was pretty good. Too bad he got so emotional or it would have been even better. And boy did he rip the process and everyone to his right side (the libtard demconcraps) a BIG ONE.

Questioning by committee next...

Franken-Feinstein went first. Kavanaugh shot Feinstein and her "why not ask the FBI to investigate?" question right between the eyes. All they would cough up would be a couple 302s, what Ford said and what Kavanaugh said. It would be a great big waste of time. Feinstein said the FBI would generate evidence and question more witnesses. He said you are questioning me right now. End of Feinstein.

Prosecutor Q&A. No red flags.

NOTE

The fight-or-flight response, also known as the acute stress response, refers to a physiological reaction that occurs in the presence of something that is terrifying [or detrimental to your well-being], either mentally or physically. The response is triggered by the release of hormones that prepare your body to either stay and deal with a threat or to run away to safety...

In response to acute stress, the body's sympathetic nervous system is activated due to the sudden release of hormones. The sympathetic nervous system stimulates the adrenal glands triggering the release of catecholamines, which include adrenaline and noradrenaline. This results in an increase in heart rate, blood pressure, and breathing rate. After the threat is gone, it takes between 20 to 60 minutes for the body to return to its pre-arousal levels.

This explains why the defender, the one being attacked, Kavanagh, was having such a hard time staying focused or coming up with good answers at times, and why he tended to repeat himself vs. directly answering questions he would rather not deal with.

Yet, even under this high stress load he managed to make several really good defensive points.

The committee should have taken at least a one hour break, after his opening statement, before hitting him with questions – if they really wanted to get great/objective answers.

Kavanagh, still upset and probably angry and probably with a lot of adrenaline pumping through his body, managed to shoot Leahy down when he tried to use a yearbook page to get into yet one more left field absurdity. Leahy's objective was to prove what a bad guy Kavanagh was, **not** what did or did not happen. Another demoncrap waste of time.

Lots of good, and to the point, Q&A with prosecutor. No red flags.

Demoncrap Senator Durbin (another old white man, as the demoncraps label them) pulled out his FBI "trump" card in spades and tried to get Kavanagh to call for the FBI's involvement. Grassley shot that entire diatribe to hell also. It's the committee's job and not the defendant's job to investigate or to request the FBI. PERIOD as VP Biden once said. Then the circus argument, typical of demoncraps, went round and round. Phony questions.

Wow!!! News Flash!! Senator Graham decided to ask a few of his own questions. Talk about hitting Feinstein right between her Franken-Feinstein eyes. First remind everyone how Chuck Schumer commented how he will fight with everything he has just 23 minutes after Trump nominated Kavanagh.

Then Graham asks, "Did you meet with Feinstein on August 20th?" A: "I did meet with Feinstein." Q: "Did you know that her staff had already recommended a lawyer to Dr. Ford?" A: "I did not know that." Q: "Did you know that her and her staff had this allegation for over 20 days?" A: "I did not know that at the time." Then Graham pointed out the unethical sham job the demoncraps employed. Then Q: "Are you a gang rapist?" A: "No." Graham pointed out if Kavanagh wanted fairness he came to the wrong town at the wrong time "...my friend". Q: "Do you consider this a job interview?" A: Something about advice and consent... like a job interview. Q: "Would you say you've been through hell?" A: "I've been through hell and then some." Then Graham ripped the demoncraps a real good one. **This entire set of C-SPAN videos should be shown far and wide, in law school, etc., as an example of how the demoncrap party and D.C. go about tearing down the rule of law, the Constitution, and the entire government of the country. Graham was pissed!!!**

THIS IS GOING TO DESTROY THE ABILITY OF GOOD PEOPLE TO COME FORWARD BECAUSE OF THIS CRAP. YOUR HIGH SCHOOL YEARBOOK. YOU HAVE INTERACTED WITH PROFESSIONAL WOMEN ALL YOUR LIFE, NOT ONE ACCUSATION. YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO BE BILL COSBY WHEN YOU'RE A JUNIOR AND SENIOR IN HIGH SCHOOL. AND ALL OF A SUDDEN YOU GOT OVER IT. IT'S BEEN MY UNDERSTANDING THAT IF YOU DRUG WOMEN AND RAPE THEM FOR TWO YEARS IN HIGH SCHOOL, YOU PROBABLY DON'T STOP. HERE'S MY UNDERSTANDING. IF YOU LIVED A GOOD LIFE, PEOPLE WOULD RECOGNIZE IT LIKE THE AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION HAS THE GOLD STANDARD. "HIS INTEGRITY IS ABSOLUTELY UNQUESTIONED. HE IS THE VERY CIRCUMSPECT IN HIS PERSONAL CONDUCT, HARBORS NO BUYIASES OR PREJUDICES. ENTIRELY ETHICAL. IS A REALLY DECENT PERSON. HE HAS WARMIS WARM, FRIENDLY, UNASSUMING. HE'S THE NICEST PERSON." THE ABA. ONE THING I CAN TELL YOU,UOU YOU SHOULD BE PROUD OF, ASHLEY, YOU SHOULD BE PROUD OF THIS. THAT YOU RAISED A DAUGHTER WHO HAD THE GOOD CHARACTER TO PRAY FOR DR. FORD. TO MY REPUBLICAN COLLEAGUES, IF YOU VOTE NO, YOU'RE LEGITIMIZING THE MOST DESPICABLE THING I HAVE SEEN IN MY TIME IN POLITICS. YOU WANT THIS SEAT, I HOPE YOU NEVER GET IT. I HOPE YOU'RE ON THE SUPREME COURT. THAT'S EXACTLY WHERE YOU SHOULD BE. AND I HOPE THAT THE AMERICAN PEOPLE WILL SEE THROUGH THIS CHARADE. AND I WISH YOU WELL. YOU WELL. AND I INTEND TO VOTE FOR YOU, AND I HOPE EVERYBODY WHO'S FAIR MINDED WILL.

So, what does Senator White(crap)house do (IMHO he is pure evil in the manner he manipulates everything around this process which I have observed)? Same stupid shit questions over what was written in a yearbook to waste everybody's time. Irrelevant to finding out exactly what may, or may not, have happened on the day in question.

Republican Cornyn Q&A pointed out that Kavanaugh has to be a serial liar for all the allegations to be true and how he has answered the same Q&As in the chambers. Kavanaugh agreed. But Cornyn points out "...we're not in a police state... if we were some of the demoncraps would be in contempt of court..."

Amy Klobuchar opens with an "I needed to look at this" semi-apology in her own defense of sorts. **Makes me choke.**

My thoughts at this point: Simple. Kavanaugh was totally upset the entire time. He was worn down from all the emotion up to this point. No way was he going to get back to normal. But the circus was going to continue and I was betting nothing pro or con was going to be revealed. Surprise me please.

Back from 15 minute (vs. 1 hour) break...

Orrin Hatch R-Utah gave his speech. Some Q&A pointing at demoncrap tactics that went on.

Coons gives BS statement. Wastes more time.

Senator Lee. More good points about the FBI, the committee's responsibility, etc.

Blumenthal finally touches on the motive, which Kavanaugh touched on also during his opening statement... that being **revenge** of some sort. IMHO that's all this is about; Ford's **revenge or political efforts or probably both** all wrapped up into one big fucking hair ball. Glad Blumenthal brought it up. Demoncrap **revenge**. PERIOD.

Tic-tic-tic...

Mike Crapo R-Idaho then educates everyone on how the FBI might operate when doing background checks; should they do one more all over again.

Hirono D-Hawaii goes into demoncrap self-defense mode vs. asking any good questions; probably because they ran out of questions years ago. She covers the same ground others already covered. Redundant.

Tillis then pointed out how the GOP has been investigating and the demoncraps have been stonewalling and wasting time and want the FBI to do their work for them. Then he points out it's not **advice and consent... its search and destroy** (like Bret said in his opening statement... at least one person was paying attention and taking notes besides me). Then he points out the DNC has already set up a website to destroy the next judge the same way. **Hard to outflank THOSE ASS-HOLES.**

Booker (THE REAL MOLESTER) starts in about drinking again. Apparently the demoncraps want to prove he's a drinker... not a sex abuser... or something/anything that will knock him down. The demoncraps treat Ford with kid gloves and allow her long answers as needed. But Bret is cut off and cut down nearly every time. **Not fair, naturally.**

Cruz... Both families have been treated like shit (**paraphrasing**). Then he points out the plain facts. Ford has one story. Kavanaugh claims he didn't do it. Neither is "conclusive". Facts... Ford stated three (four) named people in her story. They all claim it never happened; they were never there. Kavanaugh's side/evidence stronger than hers. Kavanaugh's evidence/diary connected a lot of dots, another fact. Fact: the demoncraps or someone very near in D.C. had to leak the Ford letter for some reason. Probably to stir things up and flush her out and in front of the cameras... those are the only actual facts.

Last thing said by Kavanaugh was he swears to god none of it was true, when asked by Kennedy (**no relation to the one who let a woman die in his car in a river one knight**).

My thoughts at this point: Simple. More shit will be dragged up. Eventually some of the GOP will do what Flake does; they will flake out. Then back to the drawing board. Ford will get her revenge. Kavanaugh and his family will get lynched... just like many blacks were by the demoncraps in past U.S. history.

If you made it this far then there is one more huge set of things left to consider.

DR. FORD is the person who was trained in and who teaches psychological subject matter. She even tossed out some technical psychology terms just to let everyone know she is a "pro". Very cunning. The demoncraps could **not** have found a better stooge (a.k.a. expert witness for the prosecution) to question and to put up against Kavanaugh if their fucking lives had depended on it. She probably came with her guns loaded, was well coached in the legal process, and she knows how to play to the crowd... just like in her teacher role where she's had years of experience and practice. That's why Senator Graham called it a SHAM. And yet she played it like she is nothing more than a fragile female who could break down at any moment and had to go through counseling to deal with her historical issues?? WTF!!! She probably knows exactly how to fake emotions and turn them on and off to manipulate people (which a LOT of women are very good at), if and when needed, in order to push the buttons of everyone listening to, and watching, her; both verbally and via her body language. Even her messed up hair and big nerdy glasses were probably planned for this event; frosting on the cake.

Bret Kavanaugh, on the other hand, was trained in the Constitution and law. He probably had some classes in psychology, but certainly would be no match for a DR FORD type adversary coupled with the story she came up with, and the time she had for legal coaching, and time to prepare, and any refinement of said story. Yes, he has probably seen all sorts of offense and defense tactics. But he has probably never been on the shit end of the stick, like this, during his entire life. He was, in fact, under a shit load of stress and wanted to defend himself, his interest in becoming a Supreme Court Justice, his career, family and his reputation. He had everything to lose and was obviously "going through hell" as he claimed. He was no match for the game and team he was against in this situation or setting. **Yet he did fairly well, all things considered. AND, to this day, he has never been charged with or convicted of a single crime.**