The Facts Surrounding the Mike Brown and Ferguson Missouri Case

Fact #1: Mike Brown was portrayed by various media sources as a young, innocent, black kid when in fact the Mike Brown that robbed the store in Ferguson, as seen on the security video, was a very large, intimidating person with an accomplice who walked around like a tough guy and pushed people around whenever he felt like it. Mike Brown was nothing more than a thief and a thug and the same can probably be said for his accomplice.

Fact #2: Any police officer who is attempting to serve and protect the community should have the right to defend their self, using deadly force if they so choose, against any kind of physical attack perpetrated on them by anyone. It should NOT and does NOT matter if the other person attacking any police officer is armed with a weapon or not. And in most jurisdictions police officers do, in fact, have this right according to the LAW. The reason for this is simple. If you let someone fight you, hit you or wrestle you to the ground while you are in possession of a firearm then your life is in danger. If they take a punch at you and happen to get lucky and knock you out, or ring your bell then they can easily take your firearm and kill you with it. The same applies if they wrestle you to the ground and they happen to gain control of your firearm. People who attack or even make aggressive moves toward any police officer armed with a firearm should think twice before they do it. According to the LAW if you attack a police officer you are and should be at their mercy. There is NEVER a good reason to attack a police officer. So, if you do anything threatening around a police officer, then do so at your own risk. That is why you should NEVER break the law and you should NEVER attack a police officer, PERIOD.

Fact #3: Mike Brown attacked the police officer. Once Mike Brown attacked the police officer, the first time, he gave the officer probable cause to believe that he, Mike Brown, was a threatening individual with malice of forethought on his mind. Mike Brown was not a young, unarmed, black kid, who was also a law abiding person with a law abiding associate. Mike brown was a large, strong, intimidating, lawless person with an accomplice who robbed and assaulted other people and assaulted at least one police officer. Together Mike Brown and his accomplice both represented an obvious threat and immediate danger to the officer. That is why the police officer had called for backup and probably why he felt intimidated and possibly in fear for his life by Mike Brown and his accomplice.

Fact #4: Once Mike Brown attacked the police officer in his vehicle he was a criminal subject to arrest using whatever means necessary. The officer had probable cause to arrest and charge Mike Brown from that point forward, even if he had not already robbed the store. Once Mike Brown began to run away and then Mike Brown stopped, turned and rushed the police officer, the officer was 100% justified in killing Mike Brown. The fact that some police officers might have tried to wrestle with someone like Mike Brown, and risk their lives in the process, does NOT make any case against the police officer in this situation. When an officer orders any criminal to stop, assuming they even have a chance to give an assailant orders, and once they fail to do so, by making any threatening action or actions, the officer has a perfect LEGAL right to defend their self, up to and including the use of deadly force. And that is, quite simply, what happened in this case.

Fact #5: Before the grand jury can issue any charges against any person, or a police officer, acting in the line of duty **they also must find at least one valid action** taken by that officer **which was in direct violation of the LAW**. If all eye witness accounts could be verified by all physical evidence and what the witnesses stated proved that the officer broke a particular LAW then the officer can be charged with a corresponding crime. But **if ALL of the verified evidence** and statements **indicate that no law was violated**, during the incident in question, then it is their duty to exonerate the officer who acted within the limits of the LAW.

Fact #6: The grand jury had ample time to examine ALL of the evidence and witnesses and did not find any reason whatsoever to level any charge against the police officer in the Mike Brown case. Thus the officer did NOT commit a crime while performing his job. And there is no reason to believe otherwise.

Fact #7: Most, if not all, of the protesters are probably lawless individuals or, at the very least, people who could care less about the rule of law, the legal system and its place in a civilized society; people like Eric Holder and Barack Obama included. If they believed that the rule of LAW should be respected and enforced then they would not be protesting or supporting the actions of any protesters over what happened. But the fact is that they could care less about the LAW. They believe, instead, that mob rule should be the rule of the land. They believe that they should simply be allowed to march in the streets and dictate to others who should be considered a criminal and who should be allowed to break the law based on how loud they scream at the moon. Thus, they are **lawless** individuals as well, even if they do not personally break any given laws themselves while protesting the results of this incident. If you take the side of lawlessness then you, yourself, are lawless.

Fact #8: The vast majority of the protestors and rioters, and those who support the rioters, and the people who have vandalized other people's property, between the time that Mike Brown was killed and now, are nothing more than lawless, scum of the earth, hoodlums. They are worse criminals than Mike Brown. And the vast majority of them, if not all of them, appear to be other blacks. As a result of all the lawless activities of many of the protestors and rioters they prove to be the bad apples that give black people and other protestors like them a bad reputation and they are one good reason that black people remain somewhat oppressed and looked down upon in an otherwise civilized social structure. You will also note than very few of the protesters or other blacks, in and around Ferguson, have lifted a finger to suppress the lawless acts of those among them. Thus, it is only reasonable to assume that others watching these events from outside Ferguson will hold negative impressions of many black people in the future. You get what you deserve.

Fact #9: The facts of this case have been twisted and misrepresented at nearly every turn by the media and by a bunch of unprofessional idiots on social media who have done just about everything possible to confuse everyone else about the FACTS of this case and what took place. Everyone from the governor of Missouri, to the federal, state and local legal officials, to various activists, and to the media has done a great job of stirring up a hornet's nest of controversy during this entire episode. Had everyone simply reported the FACTS of this case, as they became known and clear, there would have been little reason for anyone to have been upset over what took place. However, rather than find out the facts of this case and rather than act according to the LAW what has happened is that many lawless people have decided to take advantage of the situation and they have done what lawless people do. They have robbed other people, destroyed other people's property and acted like the lawless, scum of the earth, hoodlums which they actually are. And the people who are supposed to protect and serve the community and prevent lawless people from destroying society have done a piss poor job of enforcing the law in the process.

Fact #10. Those who are supposed to enforce the rule of law in communities like Ferguson, and in states like Missouri, should have warned all people who might break the law that there will be zero tolerance for any lawless acts once the findings of the grand jury are released. They should NOT have issued any sort of verbal statement to the media. The findings of the grand jury should have been recorded in the public record and the police officer should have been notified that he was exonerated and any legal counsel for anyone making charges against the officer should have been notified in writing of the findings. Likewise, the media should have been informed that they must retain copies of the public record findings through proper channels. At the same time the governor should have dispatched enough law enforcement personnel and military police onto every street corner in Ferguson to make sure that any lawless individuals were PREVENTED from bringing harm or destruction to others or their property. And then they should have enforced the law as needed after that. Instead, those who have been given this responsibility have, themselves, failed to uphold the laws as they have been hired to do. Thus, they all should be dismissed and replaced, from the governor on down, as soon as possible.

Those are the FACTS of this case. And nothing more needs to be said.

THE END