TO: FELLOW PATRIOTS FROM: CHARLES K. JESSUP (Updated Sep 16, 2020 - Originally drafted in Aug 2020)

This is an important correspondence (at least from where I sit). I hope you can read it with the focus and attention it requires. I composed it for one very simple reason; I hope that folks within my sphere of influence, who consider themselves patriotic enough and wise enough to understand its importance, will take it to heart in light of current events. Any feedback you may provide will be welcomed.

Prior to the year 2000, I started worrying about the fate of our country and began noticing telltale signs that about half of our fellow citizens were under the influence of Marxists/communist thinking. I also began to notice how often key figures in the media and government were subtly **inserting** *Marxist ideology* into various commentary, news reports and laws. Obama NO Care is an obvious, but by no means the first, example. At first *it* was rare. Later *it* became more common place. Today *it* has grown to such an extent that only a total fool, or someone too busy with other matters to pay attention (such as most of my relatives and associates), would fail to see *it*.

Only recently, thanks to Trump's actions in particular, has my father mentioned similar thoughts as well. I am glad I was able to see the day.

I have often embraced Trump's unfiltered comments about U.S. affairs and political decisions, expressed during various interviews of the past. His insights, regarding international business dealings, related treaties and his disappointment with giveaways and foreign affairs, exposed many weaknesses. Couple that with Trump's accomplishments within business and the media (i.e. The Apprentice show, etc.) and one can't help but get the feeling that his perspectives were invariably different than those of the Bush family, the Clintons, and certainly the Obamas and Bidens of the world. As with most "big business" leaders, he probably felt it was necessary to play both political ends against the middle (a position that he occupied); at least until he, too, began to worry emphatically about how the D.C. "swamp" was messing things up economically.

Thus, when Trump ran for office, I immediately predicted that, even if he was not elected, at the very least, "he was going to stir up a real hornets' nest". I wanted Ted Cruz, the only candidate I considered to be a Constitutional Republican, to win the Presidency. But, failing that, voting for Trump was a no brainer for me. The idea of **Hillary "Rotten Felon" Clinton** (or any other Marxist Libtard Demoncrap/MLD party puppet like Biden—it is <u>officially the Harris-Biden ticket</u> as of Monday 09/14/2020) sitting in the White House was inconceivable; especially after enduring **Barack Heusen** "Liar and Apology Chief" Obama and his supporting ilk within Congress for eight excruciatingly long years already.

As of today, I no longer think anyone who might run for President, VP, or any other political office in D.C., on the so called *demoncrap* ticket can be trusted to be anything other than a Marxist/socialist and, more likely, a full on closet communist. Fortunately (or, perhaps, unfortunately) for all of us, Trump has indeed stirred up a real hornets' nest. And, in so doing, he has caused all the Marxists in D.C.

(and across our nation) to crawl out from under their rocks into broad daylight. If only ultraviolet light could rid the country of these scumbag cockroaches as easily as it neutralizes various other microbes, like Coronavirus, then perhaps I would have little to worry about. Regrettably, disinfecting our country, now that so much of the populace has been indoctrinated with Marxist ideology, no longer seems possible to me.

The past 15 years or so I have been listening more and more to conservative commentators warning of the pending collapse of our Constitutional Republic form government and the growth of the Marxist swamp in D.C. Knowing what they have been warning about only draws me further into their camp; perhaps like a moth to a flame. Being retired with plenty of free time on my hands, I began to read selective books (history books mostly) in order to gain better insight into what has been transpiring. Unrevised history will only impact those who understand it fully and in context. The topics explored have included the achievements of some past U.S. Presidents; from how George Washington (President #1) managed to employ a handful of patriots (the Culper Spy Ring) to beat the British, how Thomas Jefferson (President #3) organized the start of our current day U.S. Marines to put down what were, during his time, some very annoying Muslim pirates and slave traders, and how Andrew Jackson (President #7) helped turn the tide during the War of 1812 to, once again, allow the U.S.A. to fend off the British and cement our country as a free Republic to this day. Because Brian Kilmeade proved to be a great author about those topics, I also read his book about Sam Houston and the Alamo in order to get his perspective on how Texas was formed and eventually became one of the greatest states in our Union. In fact, this is only the tip of my book review iceberg. I can only hope that someday one of my sons will obtain my bibliotheca and spend time learning the true lessons of our past as well.

Long before reading those books, however, I actually read the U.S. **Declaration of Independence** and the **Constitution** (likewise, having resided in Canada, I've read the much more convoluted Canadian Constitution) and, to better understand how the Constitution was formulated, I took it upon myself to read <u>The Federalist</u> (Papers) originally published by <u>Alexander Hamilton</u> (majority author and Secretary of the Treasury #1), <u>James Madison</u> (President #4) and <u>John Jay</u> (Chief Justice of the SCOTUS #1). Likewise, my father (a Korea War vet) managed to get his hands on, and give to me, a HUGE GIFT titled **U.S. Army - A Complete History** (which covers a span of time from the U.S. Revolutionary War, 1775, through Desert Storm and the Shield of Freedom operations, 2004) which I studied over the course of a couple of years. The true insights buried in that text alone were enlightening, to say the least. They also laid many myths concerning our early settlers and our military's interactions with Native Americans and the early settlers of Spain, France and Great Britain to waste. Our country grew from sea to sea thanks to the bravery of nearly 82 million soldiers and civilians alike. They managed to carve it out with both their bare hands and their ability to "keep and bear arms" against all the treachery they encountered; both within the North American continent and in nearly every other land where the seeds of freedom have been planted by our nation's military forces (at great expense in both blood and treasure).

But, I digress. The main reason I wished to compose this paper, long though it may be, was to explore one of the key chapters in "**The Federalist Papers**" concerning the **fragility** of the U.S. form of government. It is often called a "democracy"; in order to misdirect the citizenry. But it is actually a "Constitutional Republic" (something not often enough taught in school).

The **fragility** of a Republic was outlined quite carefully by James Madison in <u>Federalist TEN</u> drafted by him on November 22, 1787. While it concludes that a Republic form of government stands a MUCH better chance of survival than any other, including a true democracy, it also warns the readers to beware of "factions" who will never cease to plot the downfall of such a Republic and to replace it with any number of worse alternatives...

"Among the numerous advantages promised by a **well-constructed union**, none deserves to be more accurately developed than **its tendency** to *break* and *control* **the violence** of **faction**."

I read this opening statement as a warning that factions often encourage, or turn to, "violence" (physical or otherwise) which in turn emboldens a desire within the Union itself to *break* [stop] and/or *control* [subdue] said "violence"; by aligning with one position or another in order to restrain or put down any "violence" such a "faction" might inspire.

"The friend of popular governments never finds himself so much alarmed for their character and fate, as when **he contemplates their propensity to this dangerous vice**. He will not fail therefore to **set a due value on any plan which**, *without violating the principles to which he is attached*, **provides a proper cure for it**. The <u>instability</u>, <u>injustice and confusion</u> introduced into the public councils, <u>have</u> in truth <u>been the mortal diseases under which popular governments have everywhere perished</u>; as they continue to be the favorite and fruitful topics from which <u>the adversaries to liberty derive their most specious declamations</u>."

I feel this part of the warning says that there will, forever and always, be adversaries to liberty and that these adversaries will employ "specious declamations" or "plausible BUT misleading rhetoric or utterances" to upset the Republic. As I see it there are few, if any, members of the *demoncrap* party today who are <u>not</u> well versed in such "specious declamations"; as are many in the left-wing media and many U.S. education institutions which support them.

"Complaints are everywhere heard from our most considerate and virtuous citizens, equally the friends of public and private faith, and of public and personal liberty; that our governments are too unstable; that the public good is disregarded in the conflicts of rival parties; and that measures are too often decided, not according to the rules of justice, and the rights of the minor party; but by the superior force of an interested and over-bearing majority. However anxiously we may wish that these complaints had no foundation, the evidence of known facts will not permit us to deny that they are in some degree true. It will be found indeed, on a candid review of our situation, that some of the distresses under which we labor, have been erroneously charged on the operation of our governments [for example... Trump]; but it will be found at the same time, that other causes will not alone account for many of our heaviest misfortunes; and particularly, for that prevailing and increasing distrust of public engagements, and alarm for private rights, which are echoed from one end of the continent to the other. These must be chiefly, if not wholly, effects of the unsteadiness <u>and</u> injustice, with which a *factious spirit* has tainted our public administration." That statement, when applied to <u>factions</u> like BLM, Antifa, and the MLD party are without a doubt hitting the nail directly on the head.

A slow and steady reading of <u>Federalist TEN</u> will shed a huge amount of light on events of late and how and why all these Marxist factions have been plotting for years now to bring down or tear apart our country.

"The second expedient is as impracticable, as the first would be unwise. As long as the reason of man **continues fallible** [weak or bad], <u>and</u> he is at **liberty to exercise it** [anyway], different opinions will be formed. As long as the connection subsists between his [weak] reason and his [self-serving] self-love, his **opinions and his passions** will have a reciprocal [reverse] influence on each other; and the former [fallible reasons] will be objects to which the latter [self-serving interest] will attach themselves."

The question is: Will these Marxist factions/groups, and their fallible reasoning, coupled with their violent and deceitful efforts, succeed; just as when Burr used violence to <u>take down Hamilton</u> (thanks to Hamilton's desire to fight actions only with words while being *unprepared* to meet violence with an equal measure of the same—to fight fire with fire—to protect his own body) as a final solution when Hamilton recognized Burr's unsavory motives?

Perhaps Madison's conclusions in <u>Federalist TEN</u>, which he wrote in order to promote the Constitution itself, will prove correct. I, however, have my doubts. Even if Trump is re-elected, the Marxist factions will continue to lurk and continue to seek their self-serving power. Nothing short of a massive electoral defeat on all fronts (the Presidency, Senate and House) will save the Union; IMHO. A further divided government will only result in more "resistance", and an all-out revolt, complete with more zombie-like rage. These Marxist factions will continue to throw their temper tantrums until a second civil war finally breaks out; which I am ready for myself. I see no other way for the violence and destruction being reaped to be blocked or for the Marxist swamp rats to be forced forever back under their rocks and into their jail cells where they belong but by taking a long, hard stand to wipe them clean.

In closing, I also suggest this as a second reading: One of Abraham Lincoln's earliest published speeches... Lyceum Address.

Barring that I leave you with a final quote from Lincoln's speech:

"At what point then is the approach of danger to be expected? I answer, if it ever reach us, it must spring up amongst us. It cannot come from abroad. If destruction be our lot, we must ourselves be its author and finisher. As a nation of freemen, we must live through all time, or die by suicide."

If we U.S. patriots stand silently by and do nothing, soon another Marxist induced suicide will result.